

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,853	09/10/2003	Deborah Kutny Splaine	SP-001US(PAR)	5194
7590 08/30/2004		EXAMINER		
Deborah Kutny Splaine			SOTELO, JESUS D	
228 Asharoken Ave. Northport, NY 11768			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,853	KUTNY SPLAINE, DEBORAH
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jesús D. Sotelo	3617
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this con - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a remunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of third statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON and will by statute, cause the application to become AB after the mailing date of this communication, even if the statute of the safter the mailing date of this communication, even if the safter the mailing date of this communication.	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) fi		
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	n for allowance except for formal matt	•
closed in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restr	nction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 Septemi	<u>ber 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	ng the correction is required if the drawing	, , , ,
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair a) All b) Some * c) None of:		3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<u> </u>	y documents have been received.	
	y documents have been received in A s of the priority documents have been	
•	ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage
• •	ion for a list of the certified copies not	received.
•		
Address and a)		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review		s)/Mail Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: __

Application/Control Number: 10/658,853 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-19 are in the application.

2. In claim 14, line 6, "from" should be changed to --form--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 4, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mencarelli et al.

Mencarelli et al discloses a comfort grip for a shaft comprising a tubular member formed of a closed cell resilient polymer material core 26 and a water resistant coating 40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3617

6. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mencarelli et al.

The type of material used to make the outer coating would have been an obvious matter of choice to one skilled in the art consistent with the intended function of the outer coating;

Nylon would be a material of choice for its adaptability and durability.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mencarelli et al in view of Uke et al.

Uke et al discloses a grip similar to that of Mencarelli et al. Uke et al teaches providing the interior surface of the grip with a textured configuration. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the interior surface of the grip disclosed by Mencarelli et al with a textured surface generally as taught by Uke et al. Such a configuration would have been desirable to prevent the grip from sliding on the handle.

8. Claims 7, 8, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mencarelli et al in view of Jones.

Jones discloses a grip similar to that of Mencarelli et al and teaches providing the grip with means to secure the adjacent edges in the form of a zipper. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the grip of Mencarelli et al with zipper means to secure the same on the handle generally as taught by Jones. This arrangement would have been desirable to more positively secure the grip to the handle.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,853

Art Unit: 3617

9. Claims 9, 10, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Mencarelli et al in view of Putnam.

Putnam discloses a grip similar to that of Mencarelli et al. Putnam teaches providing the

Page 4

grip with end bands124. In view of these disclosures, it would have been obvious to one

skilled in the art to provide the grip of Mencarelli et al with edge bands generally as

taught by Putnam. Such an arrangement would be desirable to give the grip a more

aesthetic look. The manner in which the edge bands are secured on the grip would have

been an obvious matter of engineering design choice to one skilled in the art.

10. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mencarelli

et al in view of Gunnell.

Gunnell discloses a paddle including a pair of grips 22. In view of these disclosures, it

would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the grip of Mencarelli et al

on a paddle of the type disclosed by Gunnell. The purpose for the grips in both the

device of Mencarelli et al and Gunnell are substantially the same.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jesús D. Sotelo whose telephone number is 703-308-2563. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 6:00 AM -2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,853 Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jesús D. Sotelo 8/27/04
Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

CPK 5-6D16 ©

sotelo;jds August 27, 2004